

## National Judicial Academy

**P-1247: Workshop for High Court Justices on Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs)**  
10<sup>th</sup> – 11<sup>th</sup> April, 2021

**Programme Coordinator** : Ms. Paiker Nasir and Ms. Nitika Jain, Faculty

**No. of Participants** : 25

**No. of forms received** : 06

<b>I. OVERALL</b>				
<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>To a great extent</b>	<b>To some extent</b>	<b>Not at all</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	<b>100.00</b>	-	-	-
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	<b>83.33</b>	<b>16.67</b>	-	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	<b>100.00</b>	-	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	<b>83.33</b>	<b>16.67</b>	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	<b>83.33</b>	<b>16.67</b>	-	-
<b>II. KNOWLEDGE</b>				
<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>To a great extent</b>	<b>To some extent</b>	<b>Not at all</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	<b>83.33</b>	<b>16.67</b>	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	<b>83.33</b>	<b>16.67</b>	-	-
c. Up to date	<b>100.00</b>	-	-	-

d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	<b>80.00</b>	-	<b>20.00</b>	-
e. Related to international legal norms	<b>66.67</b>	<b>16.67</b>	<b>16.66</b>	-
<b>III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM</b>				
<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	<b>83.33</b>	<b>16.67</b>	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Case studies were relevant	<b>66.67</b>	<b>33.33</b>	-	-
(ii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	<b>50.00</b>	<b>50.00</b>	-	-
(iii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	<b>75.00</b>	<b>25.00</b>	-	-
<b>IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING</b>				
<b>Parameters</b>				
<b>Session</b>	<b>Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized</b>		<b>The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons</b>	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	<b>66.67</b>	<b>33.33</b>	<b>100.00</b>	-
2	<b>83.33</b>	<b>16.67</b>	<b>100.00</b>	-
3	<b>83.33</b>	<b>16.67</b>	<b>100.00</b>	-
4	<b>66.67</b>	<b>33.33</b>	<b>100.00</b>	-
<b>V. PROGRAM MATERIALS</b>				
<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>To a great extent</b>	<b>To some extent</b>	<b>Not at all</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	<b>83.33</b>	<b>16.67</b>	-	
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	<b>100.00</b>	-	-	

c. The content was organized and easy to follow	80.00	20.00	-	-
---	-------	-------	---	---

<b>VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS</b>	
1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. Discussion &amp; current issues.</p> <p>2. 1. As a judge what should be the outlook in deciding cases under intellectual property rights; 2. Important and recent cases of Indian and foreign courts; 3. How the law has programmed keeping in conformingly with emerging law</p> <p>3. 1. Got updated in the IP laws; 2. Got occasion to learn the speakers having expertise in the field of IP laws; 3. The programme will certainly help in the adjudication of the IP Disputes.</p> <p>4. None.</p> <p>5. 1. Familiarity with the latest case law; 2. Case management; 3. Personal experiences of the resource persons in effective disposal of cases.</p>
2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why	<p>1. All the sessions were useful and informative.</p> <p>2. All.</p> <p>3. <i>Session 1: Contours of IP Legislations: The Overlaps and Session 4: Case Law Jurisprudence on IP Laws as evolved by Indian Courts-</i> as these sessions were more elaborate and comprehensive.</p> <p>4. None.</p> <p>5. Issues relating to awarding injunctions as primary relief and case management. Very useful in effectively disposing of cases.</p>
3. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why	<p>1. None.</p> <p>2. Not applicable.</p> <p>3. All parts of the programme were useful.</p> <p>4. None.</p> <p>5. None.</p>
4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective	<p>1. None.</p> <p>2. To ensure that the slides and other materials relied upon or referred to by the resource person during the programme conducted in online mode can be viewed easily by the participants.</p> <p>3. The programme was well organized.</p> <p>4. None.</p> <p>5. NJA could be more pro-active in sharing the case material of experts prior to the start of the workshop in order that these can be more interactive sessions. This material should be stated well in advance.</p>